



Officers:
Alex Garay • President
Victoria Miller • Vice-President
Glenn Bailey • Secretary
Pat Bates • Treasurer
Henry Eshelman • Sergeant-at-Arms

4924 Paso Robles Avenue • Encino • CA • 91316 (747) 284-0450 www.encinonc.org

NOTICE AND AGENDA
ENCINO NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Tuesday, October 18, 2022 @ 6:30pm
MINUTES

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87172153317>

Meeting ID: 871 7215 3317

Or One tap mobile :

US: +16699006833,,89413565644# or +12532158782,,89413565644#

Or Telephone:

Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 669 900 6833 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 929 205 6099 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or 833 548 0276 (Toll Free) or 833 548 0282 (Toll Free) or 877 853 5257 (Toll Free) or 888 475 4499 (Toll Free)

Webinar ID: 871 7215 3317

International numbers available: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87172153317>

Zoom Webinars are recorded

IN CONFORMITY WITH THE SEPTEMBER 16, 2021 ENACTMENT OF CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY BILL 361 (RIVAS) AND DUE TO CONCERNS OVER COVID-19, THE ENCINO NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED ENTIRELY WITH A CALL-IN OPTION OR INTERNET-BASED SERVICE OPTION

Public Comment: Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items is limited to TWO MINUTES (2) per speaker. Time may be adjusted at the Chair's discretion. Public calling in, please press *9 on your phone to raise your hand to speak. For those that are using the Zoom Webinar please use the raise your hand feature to speak. Public comment on agenda items will be heard when the item is up for discussion. Public comment on agenda items is limited to 1 minutes per agenda item. Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Time may be adjusted at the Chair's discretion.

AB 361 Updates: Public comment cannot be required to be submitted in advance of the meeting, only real-time public comment is required. If there are any broadcasting interruptions that prevent the public from observing or hearing the meeting, the meeting must be recessed or adjourned. If members of the public are unable to provide public comment or be heard due to issues within the Neighborhood Council's control, the meeting must be recessed or adjourned.

1. **Call to Order. Start recording. Roll call. determination of quorum.**
 - Meeting called to order at 6:33pm, Alex G., Pat B., Victoria M., & Glenn B. were in attendance, Henry E. was absent, quorum met.
2. Approval of the **September** 2022 Executive Committee meeting minutes.
 - **Minutes were not available, there was confusion as to whom is to generate them, for this meeting, Alex G agreed to complete them**
3. Reports from Public Officials/Departments/Community Agencies/Certified Candidates
 - **No officials or candidates were in attendance.**
4. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items – Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items is limited to TWO MINUTES (2) per speaker. Time may be adjusted at the Chair’s discretion. Public calling in, please press *9 on your phone to raise your hand to speak. For those that are using the Zoom Webinar please use the raise your hand feature to speak.
 - **One member of the public spoke during public comet**
 - **Public comment closed**
5. Officer's Reports:
 - A. President's Report - **November Exec Committee meeting date, Executive Committee members presence at Regular Board meetings, Committee Chairs disillusionment, Parliamentarian in lieu of an SAA, Mulholland Middle School vandalism, AG Bonta investigation/Call for special vote, independent redistricting committee [CF 21-1472](#)**
 - **Alex G. provided updates on; attendance, the November meeting date, vandalism at the Mulholland Middle School, committee chair disillusionment and the recent events from City Council.**
 - **Glenn B. commented that we should inform LBNC if we discuss the middle school at the Education Committee.**
 - **Alex G commented that he is adding 2 funding items to the regular board meeting agenda; Officer Appreciation Day and the CD4 Halloween event at Genesta/Encino Park.**
 - B. Vice-President's Report
 - **Victoria M. provided a report on committees**
 - **Glenn B. requested to have CF 21-1472 to receive priority & further commented on events and his hopes are that the CD4 office is focused on issues/recommendations from the ENC and community versus hosting events**
 - **Alex G. commented that this is something that a future City Councilmember should take into consideration, a good balance between adhering to the community's needs and also being involved in community events.**
 - **Pat B. commented that the event is the same day as the their block Halloween party. Also commented that there is nothing on the CD4 website regarding the event, it should be better published.**
 - **Glenn B. asked about the change to electric motors at the airports.**
 - **Victoria M. clarified**
 - C. Secretary's Report
 1. Review of Prior General Board Meeting minutes
 - **Glenn B. provided a report**
 - **Alex G commented that the minutes from last month have been completed and mentioned that after listening to the meeting and that comments made were inappropriate.**
 - **Glenn B further commented about a comment during the regular board meeting**
 - **Alex G commented that he will make changes for the next meeting regarding comments during reports from public officials**

D. Treasurer's Report

1. [September 2022](#) Monthly Expenditure Report (MER), emailed to board and posted to ENC Financials webpage
 - **Pat B provided a report on the current state of the budget, the ENC has most of the budget left and we have not received our rollover as of the date of the meeting.**
 - **Glenn B asked about completion reports for past NPGs.**
 - **Pat B will follow up with any outstanding completion reports for NPGs**
2. Neighborhood Purposes Grants – update on previous and potential NPGs.

E. Sergeant-at-Arms Report:

1. Discussion and possible action regarding current compliance situation
 - **The SAA was not in attendance - Alex G commented that he would review the board member's training prior to the meeting.**
 - **A member of the public asked when it would appropriate to bring up the SoCal Gas project on Burbank Blvd. It will be brought up towards the end of the meeting.**

Motion, Discussion, and Vote may be taken on all items

6. New and Old Business Executive Committee

A. CIS regarding statements made by Councilmember Martinez, DeLeon and Cedillo asking for an immediate resignation, [Council File 22-1189](#), Elected Officials / Martinez, de Leon and Cedillo / Offensive - Given the recent discovery of recordings where CM Martinex, CM deLeon and CM Cidillo clearly violate Ehtics, Code of Conduct and the City Charter, should we file a CIS requesting the immediate resignation of CM Martinez and the censure of CM Martinez, deLeon and Cidillo. A possible CIS may be read here: [CIS CM Martinez, deLeon & Cedillo](#). **Discussion and possible action on creating a motion to recommend that the board support filing a CIS supporting CF-22-1189. *This situation changes quickly, at the time of this posting, Nury Martienz resigned from City Council, deLeon and Cidillo are yet to resign. Should the ENC still support the CIS for council file, CF 22-1189 as written.***

- **Alex G introduced the item, and mentioned that it perhaps we should update the memo sent to reflect Nury Martinez's resignation. The motion was moved by Alex G., Victoria M. 2nds**
- **The item was opened to discussion**
- **Victoria M. commented on the item supporting the agenda item**
- **Pat B. commented that we should edit it an update it so it is current**
- **Glenn B. would like to keep it simple and asked if we should cross reference it to a council file requesting censure of the remaining council members.**
- **Alex G commented that there are certain council files that we should not take on each one.**
- **Glenn B. commented that Cedillo's term ends in December**
- **The item was opened to public comment**
- **The motion was voted on and passed: 4 (Y), 0 (N), 0 (A)**

B. **Creation of a Government Affairs Committee:** Discussion on creating a Government Affairs Committee which would incorporate, Homelessness, Public Safety and City Legislation. If created, the current Homelessness Committee and Public Safety Committees would be dissolved. **Discussion and possible action on the creation of an Ad-Hoc Government Affairs Committee encompassing homelessness, public safety and city legislation before making changes to standing committees.**

- **This item was opened for discussion, following discussion it was decided that the item would be moved to the next meeting so we can determine the scope of the committee.**
- **It was discussed that perhaps the name could be changed because it may seem a little daunting or too large.**
- **Victoria M. commented on the item by stating she is open to suggestions because it is important**

to include Public Safety.

- Alex G. commented that it seems as if we could move this to the November meeting and tighten up the committee to make it more attractive.
- A member of the public spoke and asked to be part of the committee
- Glenn B commented that before the next meeting we should have a meeting with the current chair of our Public Safety Committee to gauge his commitment as chair of the committee
- Victoria M. commented that it would be great if they were part of the committee

C. **Appointed seats, new requirements (At the request of the President to be heard at the Exec Committee)** - Additional protections should be taken to ensure the community is represented by community members. Discussion on adding a standing rule ensuring our homeowner representatives must live or own property in Encino and the Chamber rep must have a business in Encino.

Discussion and possible action on the creation of a motion to recommend that the board approve adding a standing rule requiring that the representatives from the appointed homeowners groups must live or own property within the Encino NC boundaries and that the Chamber representative must own a business or work within the Encino NC boundaries.

- Alex G introduced the item, requesting it is addressed by this committee as it has been pushed off each Bylaws meeting in the past. Alex G. moved the motion, Pat B. 2nds
- Victoria M commented that she supports this as it is a gray area with our Bylaws.
- Glenn B commented that he supports the concept but is concerned that what we do, it cannot conflict with our Bylaws and suggested to look at the Bylaws of the selected organizations.
- Alex G commented that, this is to strengthen our rules, irrespective of the membership requirements for the organizations. This is about protecting our community
- Victoria M commented on the item stating that it was understood that the members of the selected seats should be live, own property or work in Encino, different for the homeowners groups and Chamber.
- Glenn B commented that he is not sure if this can be accomplished with a standing rule and it may have to wait for a Bylaws change.
- Alex G commented that we could redefine Stakeholder, however these are specific groups.
- Glenn B supports the changes if they were made in the Bylaws
- Victoria M commented that she agrees that this should be done in standing rules until the window to make changes to Bylaws opens.
- Alex G called the question, the item passed: 3 (Y), 1 (N), 0 (A)

D. **PLU Committee members (At the request of the President to be heard by the Executive Committee)** – We have taken strides to ensure our PLU representative must live or own property in Encino, however this does not apply to our PLU Committee members. Should we add a standing rule that stipulates that any ENC PLU Committee members must live in or own property in Encino? **Discussion and possible action on the creation of a motion recommending that the board support the addition of a standing rule requiring that all PLU Committee members, including but not limited to board members and stakeholders, must live or own property within the Encino NC boundaries.**

- Alex G introduced the item, commenting that we have already made the change that the PLU Rep must live or own property within the Encino boundaries. Alex G. moved the motion, Pat B. 2nds
- Pat B. commented that committee members serve at the discretion of the VP and Committee Chair. She further commented that it is possible that we may be able to remove people.
- Alex G. commented that it is not fair to remove someone without cause or justification and this is for a specific committee.
- Victoria M commented that this has been brought up by a member of the public in the past. There had been a discussion that with the Chair to try to only have residents of Encino on the committee rather than work here, however it is not in writing.

- Alex G asked what happens if someone buys land on the Blvd., shouldn't they have a say in what goes around them?
- Glenn B. commented on the item.
- Following discussion, the item was voted on and passed 4 (Y), 0 (N), 0 (A)

E. **Council File 22-1196**, Charter Reform Ballot Initiative, Los Angeles 2024, Population Growth / Major Immigrant Destination, Los Angeles City Council Seats City Residents Representation: Introduced on October 11, 2022. Motion is instructing the Chief Legislative Analyst, with the Assistance of the City Attorney, to report on the steps needed to place a charter reform ballot initiative before the voters of Los Angeles in 2024, with recommendations that ensure representation is fixed to population growth, in order to increase the number of Los Angeles City Council Seats. **Discussion and possible action on the creation of a motion recommending the board support filing a CIS, supporting CF 22-1196, to increase in the amount of City Council Seats.**

- Alex G introduced the item
- Pat B commented that it passed City Council today
- Alex G commented that we should still weigh in on it, hopefully support the possible motion. Alex read and moved the motion, Victoria M 2nds
- Glenn B commented that this is tied to population growth and our growth is small so to him it looks like we would get on council seat every 10 years.
- Alex G commented that he understood the motion differently, that it would be an immediate increase and reduce the amount of constituents per councilmember
- Pat B commented that she too believes that it would increase the amount of councilmembers immediately.
- Alex G commented that we previously supported the independent redistricting and that the AG is looking increasing the amount of councilmembers
- Alex G asked that we take a vote and ask what the thought process was behind population growth, Alex G will reach out to the author of the motion and see what the response was.
- Glenn B suggested an amendment, to support if amended to indicate an immediate change
- Alex G modified the the language in the motion to include, "to increase the amount of council seats immediately after passage of the charter amendment.
- Alex G accepted the amendment as well as the seconder of the original motion.
- A vote took place on the amended motion and passed: 4 (Y), 0 (N), 0 (A)

F. **Council File 22-1127**: Van Nuys Airport Specific Plan / Zoning Ordinance 177.327 / Community Impact Mitigation. And write a CIS - While much of the airport operations is controlled by the Federal Aviation Commission, the City of Los Angeles does have some tools at its disposal in terms of land use. The Van Nuys Airport Plan establishes land use zones for the airport and the land surrounding it. However, this plan was adopted in 2006 and will soon be out of date. A new specific plan is needed for Van Nuys Airport to plan for its future and mitigate impacts to the surrounding community. **Discussion and possible action on filing a motion recommending the board support a CIS in support of creating a new plan and report back on the regulation of activity.**

- Alex G introduced the item.
- Victoria M commented that these was introduced by the former Council President and further commented that a new plan could be good or bad but that the SONC took it up last week and supported the CIS.
- Alex G asked if the CAC took a position on this item
- Victoria M will look into it, and moved the motion, Alex G 2nds
- Glenn B commented on the item
- A member of the public commented on the item
- It was clarified that we are addressing a council file that will be addressed even if the Council President has resigned.
- The item was voted on and passed: 4 (Y), 0 (N), 0 (A)
- Glenn B requested to include the arrivals and departures as they affect our community not just the

ones immediately surrounding the airport

G. **City Council 22-1125**: Van Nuys Airport / Scheduled Charter Flight Operations Increase / Part 380 Regulations / Community Concerns - Since the pandemic, general aviation airports have seen a surge in charter flights as individuals have been willing to pay a premium to reserve a single seat aboard a chartered flight instead of flying a commercial airline. **Discussion and possible action on recommending the board support filing a CIS in support of Council File 22-1125 to report back on actions the City can take to address the increase of scheduled charter flights at Van Nuys Airport, including an analysis of current regulations and recommendations on how to address community concerns.**

- **Alex G introduced the item, and moved the item, Victoria M 2nds**
- **Glenn B asked how they are scheduling flights**
- **Victoria M responded that they are considered charters, but that there nine (9) 737 jets at the airport and that groups from surrounding communities met and this was a breakthrough.**
- **Glenn B recommended to add names and dates of previous conversations in the CIS**
- **Alex G asked about security for the flights, it seems very relaxed at VNY**
- **Victoria commented that there are security measures.**
- **A vote took place and the item passed: 4 (Y), 0 (N), 0 (A)**

H. **Council File 22-1197**, New Ad Hoc Committee / City Government Reform / October 2021 Recordings Release / Transparency and Limit Corruption / Los Angeles Residents Trust (Raman – Bonin): Introduced on October 11, 2022. Motion is calling for the City Council to convene a new Ad Hoc Committee on City Governance Reform, which will be charged with implementing reforms to increase transparency, limit corruption, and make City leadership more representative of our communities with the aim of restoring the faith of the people of Los Angeles in their city government. **Discussion and possible action on creating a motion recommending the board support filing a CIS in support of Council File 22-1197.**

- **Alex G introduced and moved the item. Glenn B 2nds**
- **Pat B commented that this item includes several good items**
- **Glenn B commented that there are 2 items we have already weighed in on**
- **Alex G commented that it is a great idea to support or if this is smoke and mirrors.**
- **Glenn B requested that since this an Ad-Hoc committee that we demand full transparency and a minimum of 72 hour notice to meetings, to treat it as if it were a standing committee and follow the Brown Act.**
- **Following discussion the item was voted on and passed: 4 (Y), 0 (N), 0 (A)**

7. Review General Board Meeting Draft Agenda

8. Board Member Comment on Non Agenda Items

9. Adjournment

- **The meeting was adjourned at 9:10pm**

Next Executive Committee meeting: Possibly November 15, 2022